

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,443	07/09/2003	Gregory Wright	V00058.70027US00	9155
23628 . 7	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA			LEWIS, KIM M	
600 ATLANTI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	A 02210-2211		3743	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\bigcap$	٨				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,443	WRIGHT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kim M. Lewis	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<sup>*</sup>						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-13 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7,26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iummary (PTO-413)					
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/03.	6) Other: Deta						

Application/Control Number: 10/616,443

Art Unit: 3743

Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 7/9/03 has been received and made of record in the application file wrapper. Note the acknowledged form PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "31" is missing from Fig. 1 as indicated on page 7, line 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 8 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,512,041 ("Bogart").

As regards claim 1, Bogart discloses a wound dressing for promoting moist wound healing which anticipates applicants' claimed invention. More specifically, Bogart discloses a substrate (52) having a perimeter, a first surface and a second surface on an opposite side of the first surface, the second surface of the substrate having a low coefficient of friction; a protective layer (74) disposed on the first surface of the substrate, a layer of a pressure-sensitive adhesive (72) disposed on the first surface of the substrate and surrounding at least a portion of the protective layer, and a sheet of material (60) that is attached to the substrate at attachment locations adjacent the perimeter of the substrate, the sheet of material having a lower surface having a low coefficient of friction, the lower surface of the sheet confronting the second surface of the substrate and being constructed and located to move with respect to the second surface of the substrate in a lower surface having a low coefficient of friction, the lower surface of the sheet confronting the second surface of the substrate and being constructed and located to move with respect to the second surface of the substrate in a direction generally parallel to the substrate (col. 3, lines 40-42, col. 4 lines 30-44 and col. 4, line 50-col. 5, line 2).

As regards claim 8, the attachment locations are continuous around the entire surface of the substrate (col. 4, lines 30-45).

As regards claim 21, Bogart discloses a method for treating a wound comprising applicants' claimed steps of placing a bandage over a wound such that the wound is covered by a protective layer disposed on one side of the bandage; adhesively securing the bandage to the skin using a layer of pressure-sensitive adhesive on the one side of the bandage that surrounds but does not touch the wound; and affixing a sheet of low-friction material adjacent another side of the bandage, the sheet of low-friction material being constructed to be positioned between the bandage and items of clothing, the sheet of low-friction material being movable with respect to the bandage to minimize the transfer of any friction forces from items of clothing to the wound. Bogart fails to teach the method is for treating blister *per se.* However, since a blister is a type of wound, the examiner contends that the Bogart's method encompasses a blister.

As to claim 22, Bogart a method comprising the steps of placing a protective layer of a bandage against the area of skin that is to be protected; adhesively securing the bandage to the skin using a layer of pressure- sensitive adhesive that surrounds but does not touch the area of skin to be protected; placing a low-friction surface on a side of the bandage opposite the protective layer; and adhering a layer of low-friction material to the bandage between the low-friction surface on the bandage and items of clothing, the layer of low- friction material being movable with respect to the low-friction surface on the bandage to minimize the transfer of any frictional forces from clothing to the area of skin to be protected.

The applicant should note that the placement of the bandage of Bogart on the skin of a user inherently accomplishes applicant's claimed method.

As regards claim 23, Bogart discloses bandage for the skin comprising: a first layer (52) formed of a low-friction material, the first layer having an upper surface and a lower surface; a protective layer (74) disposed on the lower surface of the first layer; a layer of adhesive (72) surrounding the protective layer on the lower surface of the first layer; and a second layer of a low-friction material (60) being disposed adjacent and attached to the upper surface of the first layer in such a manner that the second layer moves with respect to the upper surface of the first layer in a direction generally parallel to the upper surface of the first layer in response to forces applied to the second layer (col. 3, lines 40-42, col. 4 lines 30-44 and col. 4, line 50-col. 5, line 2).

As regards claims 24 and 25, Bogart anticipates applicants' claim since the second layer is only attached to the upper surface of the first layer at selected locations, the selected locations being the entire upper surface, which include the corners.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bogart.

As regards claim 9, Bogart fails to teach discontinuous application of the dry adhesive recited in col. 4, lines 30-45. The examiner contends that it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to discontinuously apply adhesive on the substrate for attachment to the film to reduce the cost of manufacturing the device.

As regards claim 10-13, Bogart discloses that the film layer has a static coefficient of friction of about 0.48, and that substrate layer has a static coefficient of friction of about 1.169.

Bogart fails to teach that the substrate layer has a coefficient of friction of less than 1.0. However, since the purpose of the device of Bogart is to allow the film layer

and the substrate layer to easily move with one another, the examiner contends that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it within the level of ordinary skill in the art to select another stretchable layer for the substrate as an obvious design choice. The examiner further contends the device of Bogart would perform equally well with a layer having a coefficient of friction of less than 1.0.

Additionally, the applicant should note that the substrate and the film layer have a coefficient of friction less than skin.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 2-7 and 26-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 14-20 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,362,387 discloses a self-adhering friction reducing liner having a low-coefficient of friction layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is 703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703.308.0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Km M. Lewis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Kml September 19, 2004